DEVERIE J. CHRISTENSEN, ESQ. 1 Nevada Bar No. 6596 2 JOSHUA A. SLIKER, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 12493 3 JACKSON LEWIS P.C. 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Ste. 600 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 E-Mail: christensend@jacksonlewis.com E-Mail: ioshua.sliker@iacksonlewis.com Telephone: (702) 921-2460 6 Facsimile: (702) 921-2461 7 Attorneys for Defendant 8 Bellagio, LLC 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 11 HECTOR TORRES, Case No. 2:17-cv-01025-JAD-VCF 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 13 ORDER TO EXTEND DISPOSITIVE VS. MOTION DEADLINE 14 BELLAGIO, LLC, a Nevada corporation; 15 (FOURTH REQUEST) ROE Business Organizations I-X; and, DOE INDIVIDUALS I-X, inclusive, 16 17 Defendants. 18 Pursuant to Local Rules IA 6-1 and 26-4, Plaintiff HECTOR TORRES ("Plaintiff") by 19 and through his counsel, Kemp & Kemp, and Defendant BELLAGIO, LLC ("Defendant"), by and 20 through its counsel, Jackson Lewis P.C., hereby stipulate to amend the Discovery Plan and 21 Scheduling Order (ECF No. 35) and Order Granting Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines 22 (Third Request) (ECF No. 43) by extending the deadline to file dispositive motions as set forth 23 below. 24 This is the fourth request for an extension to the discovery plan and scheduling order in 25 this matter. The parties' prior requests have sought additional time to conduct discovery which in 26 turn has effected the deadline to file dispositive motions under FRCP 56. This extension does not 27 seek additional time to conduct discovery, and is sought in good faith and not for purposes of 28 delay. The request to extend the deadline is subject to the good standard as the request is made

less 21 days prior to its expiration. LR 26-4. Good cause exist to extend the deadline as several unanticipated interceding events have occurred which necessitates the parties' request.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. LEGAL ARGUMENT

Under Rule 6(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court may, for good cause, extend a deadline if the request is made before the deadline expires. Similarly, under Rule 16(b)(4), a deadline set forth in a scheduling order may be modified for good cause. The good cause inquiry focuses on the moving party's diligence. Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 608-09 (9th Cir. 1992). Although Local Rule 26-4 requires all *motions* to extend a deadline set forth in a scheduling order to be filed no later than 21 days before the expiration of the subject deadline, the underlying rationale of the Local Rule is not implicated here because the parties have entered into the instant stipulation. See Woods v. Quintana, No. 2:13-cv-01314-APG-CWH, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 50889, at *6 (D. Nev. Apr. 15, 2016) ("Part of the underlying rationale for Local Rule 26-4 is to avoid the situation in which the Court" has to "attempt to decide a motion to extend a deadline before there has been time for the opposing party to respond to the motion.").

Here, good cause exists to extend the dispositive motion deadline by one week from its current deadline of April 27, 2018 to May 4, 2018. The undersigned Counsel for Defendant is currently engaged in trial preparations for another case set on a May 21, 2018 five-week trial stack in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. A-15-727524-C (the "trial case"). Earlier this week, the trial case and certain parties therein were the subject of extensive national and local news coverage which has continued throughout the week. This event, so close to trial, has necessitated the involvement of Defendant's Counsel.

Further, Defendant's Counsel unexpectedly had to prepare for and participate in a labor arbitration hearing on Thursday, April 19th. Defendant's Counsel will also be participating in hearings on Monday through Thursday of next week for the trial case. Finally, the parties in the trial case will be participating in a required pre-trial exhibit conference next week to settle exhibits and discuss stipulations regarding admissibility. Defendant's Counsel has learned that the

1	parties will need to discuss the marking and admissibility of at least 470 separate exhibits. In ligh	
2	of this, the conference is likely to span several days.	
3	The aforementioned events have and will make it impossible for Defendant's Counsel to	
4	complete drafting of Defendant's dispositive motion by the current deadline of April 27th	
5	Defendant's Counsel has explained the circumstances to Plaintiff's Counsel. Plaintiff's Counsel	
6	was understanding and agreed to extend the dispositive motion deadline to May 4, 2018.	
7	Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the dispositive motion deadline be extended by	
8	one week from its current deadline of April 27, 2018 to May 4, 2018.	
9	Dated: April 23 rd 2018	Dated: April 23 rd 2018
10	Respectfully submitted,	Respectfully submitted,
11		
12	/s/ Victoria L. Neal JAMES P. KEMP, ESQ.	/s/ Joshua A. Sliker DEVERIE J. CHRISTENSEN, ESQ.
13	Nevada Bar No. 6375	Nevada Bar No. 6596 JOSHUA A. SLIKER, ESQ.
14	VICTORIA L. NEAL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13382	Nevada Bar No. 12493
15	KEMP & KEMP 7435 W. Azure Drive, Suite 110	JACKSON LEWIS, P.C. 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Ste. 600
16	Las Vegas, NV 89130	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff	Attorneys for Defendant
18	Hector Torres	Bellagio, LLC
19		
20	<u>ORDER</u>	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	April 24 Dated:	
23		
24		
25		
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
20		